

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,960	10/20/2003	Peter Hauber	BLDR-04c	4416
20986	7590 11/02/2004	i.	EXAMINER	
LOUIS J BACHAND P O BOX 1508			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
LA CANADA, CA 910125508			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3676	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	10/689,960	HAUBER ET AL.	\mathcal{A}
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Estremsky	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ued
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set	ı fee under forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): See Continuation Shee		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 and 20 wor amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely filed	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	/
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,14 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-13 and 15-19</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	$A \cap A$	
10. Other:		Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676	

continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment is not consistent with indication of allowable subject matter in the Final Office Action. See paragraph 7. For example - claim 5 should include ALL limitations of the claims from which it depends, claim 10 stands rejected, claim 13 was not indicated to be allowable and amended version is not the same as previous claim 14, and neither is amended claim 14, etc.. Entry of the amendment would require further search and consideration not appropriate after unlimited stage of Prosecution has been closed. See MPEP 714.12, 714.13. Claims 6, 20 appear to be consistent with indication of allowable subject matter andfor that reason could be entered if submitted alone.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Amendment to claim 9 corrects noted problem and would be entered for purpose of Appeal if submitted alone.